

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,367	12/08/2003	Noboru Asauchi	MIPFP018A	4371
7590 08/25/2004			EXAMINER	
Peter B. Martine			STEWART JR, CHARLES W	
Martine & Penilla, LLP Suite 170 710 Lakeway Drive Sunnyvale, CA 94085			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2853

Detailed Action

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine ground in public policy (a policy reflected in the statue) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude' granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 f.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 428, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (c0 may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-6 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,669,322 B2.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,367

Art Unit: 2853

Gaston et al. discloses a method of calibrating ink ejection elements of an image forming device, said image forming device comprising a carriage supporting said ink ejection elements and an optical scanner, said method comprising:

With regards to claim 1, Printing a test pattern onto a print medium with said ink ejection elements; sensing said test pattern with said optical scanner by moving said optical scanner across said print medium in a scanning direction and scanning a substantial width of said test pattern in a single pass of said optical scanner; determining whether any of said ink ejection elements contains at least one defect based on said sensed test pattern; and calibrating said ink ejection elements determined to contain said at least one defect.

With claims to claim 12, converting said scanned test pattern into electronic data; and storing said electronic data prior to determining whether any of said ink ejection elements contain said at least one defect.

With regards to claim 4, analyzing said electronic data to determine whether any of said ink ejection elements contains at least one defect.

With regards to claim 3, wherein said step of printing said test pattern further comprises printing a plurality of test patterns by scanning said ink ejection elements over said print medium at various speeds.

With regards to claim 10, wherein said step of sensing said test pattern further comprises sensing each of said plurality of test patterns.

With regards to claim 9, wherein said step of determining whether any of said ink ejection elements contains said at least one defect further comprises comparing the sensed test patterns in relation to the speed the ink ejection elements were traveling

Art Unit: 2853

during the printing of said test patterns.

With regards to claim 3, wherein said step of calibrating said ink ejection elements determined to contain at least one defect further comprises calibrating said ink ejection elements for various printmodes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to print a plurality of test patterns onto a print medium by scanning ink ejection elements over a print medium at various speeds.

Contact Information

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles W. Stewart, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-2154.

Charles Stewart, Jr.

August 20, 2004

Stephen D. Meier Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2853

ejection elements contains said at least one defect further comprises comparing the sensed test patterns in relation to the speed the ink ejection elements were traveling during the printing of said test patterns.

With regards to claim 3, wherein said step of calibrating said ink ejection elements determined to contain at least one defect further comprises calibrating said ink ejection elements for various printmodes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to print a plurality of test patterns onto a print medium by scanning ink ejection elements over a print medium at various speeds.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 3. examiner should be directed to Charles W. Stewart, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-2154.

Charles Stewart, Jr.

August 20, 2004